

3. Watson's responses are made based upon reasonable and diligent investigation conducted to date. Discovery and investigation in this matter are ongoing and Watson reserves the right to amend its responses and to raise any additional objections it may have in the future. These responses are made based upon the typical or usual interpretation of words contained in the Requests, unless a specific definition or instruction has been provided and/or agreed upon.

4. Watson's responses to the Requests contain information subject to the Protective Order entered on November 29, 2005 in this matter and must be treated accordingly.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Watson objects generally to the Requests as follows:

1. Watson objects to Plaintiff's "Definitions" and "Instructions" to the extent Plaintiff intends to expand upon or alter Watson's obligations under the Wisconsin Rules of Civil Procedure. Watson will comply with applicable rules of civil procedure in providing its responses and objections to the Requests.

2. Watson objects to the definition of "Documents" on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous and to the extent that it seeks to impose obligations beyond those imposed by the applicable Wisconsin Rules of Civil Procedure. Watson further objects to this definition to the extent that it purports to require Watson to identify or produce documents or data in a particular form or format, to convert documents or data into a particular file format, to produce documents or data on any particular media, to search for and/or produce or identify documents or data on back-up tapes, to produce any proprietary software, data, programs or databases, to violate any licensing agreement or copyright laws, or to produce data, fields, records, or reports about produced documents or data. The production of any documents or data or the provision of other information by Watson as an accommodation to Plaintiff shall not be deemed to constitute a

waiver of this objection.

3. Watson objects to the extent that any Request seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the work product doctrine, the attorney-client, accountant-client, consulting expert, or investigative privileges, by any common interest or joint defense agreement, or by any other applicable privilege or protection. To the extent that any such protected documents or information are inadvertently produced in response to these Requests, the production of such documents or information shall not constitute a waiver of Watson's right to assert the applicability of any privilege or immunity to the documents or information, and Watson demands that any such document or information be returned to Watson's counsel immediately upon discovery thereof. Watson agrees to prepare and provide Plaintiff with a listing or log of documents withheld on the grounds of privilege at the conclusion of its final production.

4. Watson objects to each Request to the extent that it calls for production of documents or information not within its possession, custody, or control. In responding to these requests, Watson has undertaken or will undertake a diligent and reasonable search of documents and information within Watson's current possession, custody, or control.

5. Watson objects to each Request to the extent that it calls for information that is confidential, proprietary, and/or a trade secret of a third party. Any such materials produced will be subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

6. Watson objects to any implications and to any explicit or implicit characterization of facts, events, circumstances, or issues in these Requests. Watson's response that it will produce documents in connection with a particular Request is not intended to indicate that Watson agrees with any implication or any explicit or implicit characterization of facts, events, circumstances, or issues in the Requests or that such implications or characterizations are

relevant to this action.

7. Watson objects to the definition of the time period covered by the Requests to the extent it encompasses any time period after June 3, 2004, the date Plaintiff filed its original Complaint in this case.

8. Watson expressly incorporates the above General Objections into each specific response to the Requests set forth below as if set forth in full therein. The response to a Request shall not operate as a waiver of any applicable specific or general objection to the Request.

RESPONSES TO SPECIFIC REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22: All documents relating to your purchase, license, or receipt, of pricing information, including but not limited to average wholesale prices (“AWPs”) or wholesale acquisition costs (“WACs”) from First DataBank, Red Book, or Medispan for your drugs or the drugs of your competitors, including but not limited to contracts or license agreements. This request includes, but is not limited to, your purchase, license, or receipt of First DataBank’s National Drug Data File (“NDDF”). In addition, this request includes, but is not limited to contracts or license agreements between you and any other party that provides pricing information from First DataBank, Red Book, or Medispan (for example, agreements between you and DMD America in connection with its “Analysource” product).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22: In addition to its General Objections, which are incorporated herein by reference, Watson objects to Document Request No. 22 on the grounds that it is overly broad and the following terms or phrases are vague, ambiguous and undefined: “purchase, license, or receipt,” “competitors,” “any other party that provides” and “pricing information.” Watson further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that are neither relevant to the State’s claims nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Notwithstanding the foregoing General and Specific Objections, and without waiving them, Watson responds that it will produce its documents sufficient to identify agreements, if any,

with DMD America, First DataBank, Redbook, or Medispan relating to the purchase or receipt of AWP or WAC pricing information.

Dated this 5th day of March, 2008.

GASS WEBER MULLINS LLC
Attorneys for Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
and Watson Pharma, Inc.

s/Daniel S. Elger
Ralph A. Weber, SBN 1001563
Daniel S. Elger, SBN 1045343
309 North Water Street, Suite 700
Milwaukee, WI 53202
Tel: (414) 224-7698
Fax: (414) 224-6116

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on this 5th day of March 2008, a true and correct copy of **DEFENDANTS WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.'S AND WATSON PHARMA, INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF STATE OF WISCONSIN'S SEVENTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO ALL DEFENDANTS** was served on all counsel of record by Lexis Nexis File & Serve®.

s/Daniel S. Elger